

Section 8: Attrition between Waves

Why we report Lee bounds for Wave 2

Generated 25 Jun 2026.

Why this matters.

Wave 2 is a re-interview of Wave 1 households plus a small replenishment. About 35% of W1 respondents were not found again. If tracking depends on treatment status (PEP-RAMV vs Irregular), the W2 sample is selected on treatment, and W2 RD coefficients mix the true treatment effect with selection. Lee (2009) bounds give worst-case bounds on the LATE under monotonic selection, regardless of the strength of the differential.

1. Overall tracking rate

Statistic	Value
Wave 1 person-wave observations	4328
Tracked to Wave 2 (is_panel = 1)	2805
Tracking rate (%)	64.8%

2. Differential tracking by treatment

Group	W1 N	Tracked	Rate
PEP-RAMV (trt_hh = 1)	2112	1448	68.6%
Irregular (trt_hh = 0)	2216	1357	61.2%
<i>Difference (PEP - Irregular)</i>			<i>7.3 pp</i>

3. Differential tracking – regression evidence

Linear probability of being tracked, regressed on PEP-RAMV treatment.

Specification	Coef. on trt_hh	SE	p	N
Raw	0.0732	0.0145	0.0000	4328
With predetermined controls	0.0640	0.0162	0.0001	3859